1 2 3 4 5	STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON (SBN 136505) sellingson@hayesdavis.com JAMIE A. RADACK (SBN 221000) jradack@hayesdavis.com HAYES DAVIS BONINO ELLINGSON McLAY 203 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 480 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: 650.637.9100 Facsimile: 650.637.8071	& SCOTT, LLP			
6	Attorneys for Defendants				
7	CLUCK UNIVERSITY CHICKEN OF PALO ALTUNIVERSITY CHICKEN SANTA CLARA, LP; U				
8	MANAGEMENT, INC.; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN	N, INC.; UNIVERSITY			
	CHICKEN FRESNO, LP; UNIVERSITY CHICKE MICHAEL C. BORNEO	EN SAN JOSE, LLC; and			
9	WICHALD C. BOICE				
10	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	CLUCK-U, CORP., a Maryland corporation,	CASE NO. C07-04986 EMC			
14		ANSWER TO COMPLAINT			
15	Plaintiff,				
16	v.				
17	CLUCK UNIVERSITY CHICKEN OF PALO ALTO, LP, d/b/a UNIVERSITY CHICKEN				
	SANTA CLARA, a California limited partnership; CLUCK UNIVERSITY CHICKEN				
18	OF SAN JOSE, LP, a California limited				
19	MANAGEMENT, INC., a California				
20	corporation; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN, INC., a California corporation; UNIVERSITY				
21	CHICKEN FRESNO, LP, a California limited partnership; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN SAN				
22	JOSE, LLC, a California limited liability company; Michael C. Borneo, an individual; and				
23	DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
24	Defendants.				
25	·				
26		ZENI OE DAI O ALTO ID 4/L/2 IBIBZEDCITZZ			
27		KEN OF PALO ALTO, LP, d/b/a UNIVERSITY			
28	CHICKEN SANTA CLARA, LP; UC RESTAUR	CANT MANAGEMENT, INC.; UNIVERSITY			
Z O					

5

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

7.

_ :

2223

24

25

26

2728

CHICKEN, INC.; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN FRESNO, LP; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN SAN JOSE, LLC; and MICHAEL C. BORNEO submit the following answer to plaintiff's complaint.

- 1. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 1, these answering defendants admit said allegations.
- 2. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 2, these answering defendants admit this Court has the discretion to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims alleged in plaintiff's complaint. These answering defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations therein and therefore deny said allegations on information and belief.
- 3. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 3, these answering defendants admit that venue in this judicial district is proper. These answering defendants deny the remaining allegations therein.
- 4. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 4, these answering defendants admit said allegations.
- 5. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 5, these answering defendants admit that Cluck University Chicken of Palo Alto, L.P. d/b/a University Chicken Santa Clara is a California limited partnership. These answering defendants deny the remaining allegations of said paragraph.
- 6. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 6, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
 - Answering the allegations of Paragraph 7, these answering defendants admit said allegations.
- 8. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 8, these answering defendants admit that University Chicken San Jose, LLC is a California limited liability company. These answering defendants deny the remaining allegations of said paragraph.
- 9. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 9 these answering defendants admit said allegations.
- 10. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 10, these answering defendants admit said allegations.

26 27

28

allegations therein and therefore deny said allegations on information and belief. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 19, these answering defendants lack sufficient 19. information to admit or deny said allegations and therefore deny said allegations on information

These answering defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining

and belief.

23 allegations. 24

Answering the allegations of Paragraph 30, these answering defendants re-allege and 30. incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.

28 | 31. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 31, these answering defendants deny said

152230

25

26

Answering the allegations of Paragraph 40, these answering defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny said allegations and therefore deny said allegations on information and belief.

23 24

25

- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 41, these answering defendants deny said 41. allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 42, these answering defendants deny said 42. 26 allegations. 27
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 43, these answering defendants deny said 28 43.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Case 4:07-cv-04986-CW

- 44. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 44, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 45. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 45, these answering defendants re-allege and incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.
- 46. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 46, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 47. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 47, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 48. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 48, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 49. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 49, these answering defendants re-allege and incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.
- 50. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 50, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 51. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 51, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 52. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 52, these answering defendants re-allege and incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.
- 53. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 53, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 25 | 54. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 54, these answering defendants deny said26 | allegations.
- 27 | 55. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 55, these answering defendants deny said allegations.

22

23

24

6.	Answering the	allegations	of Paragraph	56, thes	e answering	defendants	deny	said
allegat	ions.							

- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 57, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 58, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 59, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 60, these answering defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny said allegations and therefore deny said allegations on information and belief.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 61, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 62, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 63, these answering defendants re-allege and incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 64, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- 65. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 65, these answering defendants deny said allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 66, these answering defendants re-allege and 66. incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.
- 67. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 67, these answering defendants deny said 26 allegations. 27
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 68, these answering defendants deny said 68. 28

1	allegations.					
2	69. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 69, these answering defendants deny said					
3	allegations.					
4	70. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 70, these answering defendants deny said					
5	allegations.					
6	71. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 71, these answering defendants deny said					
7	allegations.					
8	72. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 72, these answering defendants deny said					
9	allegations.					
10	73. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 73, these answering defendants re-allege and					
11	incorporate by reference their responses to the allegations in paragraphs 1 through the					
12	immediately preceding paragraph as though fully set forth.					
13	74. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 74, these answering defendants deny said					
14	allegations.					
15	75. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 75, these answering defendants deny said					
16	allegations.					
17	76. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 76, these answering defendants deny said					
18	allegations.					
19	77. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 77, these answering defendants deny said					
20	allegations.					
21	78. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 78, these answering defendants deny said					
22	allegations.					
23	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>					
24	AS A FIRST, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE					
25	HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these					
26	answering defendants allege that said Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of					
27	action against these answering defendants.					

- 8 -

AS A SECOND, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

152230

FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,
these answering defendants allege that plaintiff delayed asserting the claims alleged in the
Complaint, defendants were prejudiced by said delay and as such plaintiff's claims are barred by the
doctrine of laches.

AS A THIRD, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that the claims asserted in the Complaint are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

AS A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that plaintiff is estopped from asserting the claims alleged in the Complaint.

AS A FIFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that plaintiff has waived the claims alleged in the Complaint.

AS A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that at all times and places mentioned in the Complaint herein, plaintiff failed to mitigate the amount of its damages.

AS A SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that the alleged causes of action set forth in the Complaint are, and each of them is, barred by the statute of limitations.

AS A EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that plaintiff has consented and acquiesced to the matters alleged in the Complaint.

AS A NINTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON

FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,

these answering defendants allege that their use of the marks alleged in the Complaint constitutes

AS A TENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON

AS A ELEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT

ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,

Trademark Office trademark registration alleged in the Complaint through fraud and other improper

AS A TWELFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON

AS A THIRTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT

ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,

these answering defendants allege plaintiff has failed to obtain copyright registration with the

AS AN FOURTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE

CONTAINED THEREIN, these answering defendants allege that plaintiffs' Complaint, to the

extent that it seeks exemplary or punitive damages pursuant to §3294 of the Civil Code, violates

defendants' right to procedural due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States

Constitution, and the Constitution of the State of California, and therefore fails to state a cause of

COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION

FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,

these answering defendants allege they are good faith senior users of the marks alleged in the

these answering defendants allege plaintiff improperly obtained the United States Patent and

FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,

have not acquired secondary meaning, thus barring the claims in plaintiff's Complaint.

United States Library of Congress, thus barring the claims in plaintiff's Complaint.

these answering defendants allege that plaintiff's alleged marks are not inherently distinctive and

Complaint in a geographic area remote to plaintiff's alleged use of its alleged marks.

1

non-infringing fair use of such marks.

means, and said registration is invalid.

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

152230

action upon which either punitive or exemplary damages can be awarded.

AS AN FIFTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT

1	ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN,					
2	these answering defendants allege that plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent that it seeks punitive or					
3	exemplary damages pursuant to §3294 of the Civil Code, violates defendants' rights to protection					
4	from "excessive fines" as provided in the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution and					
5	Article I, Section 17, of the Constitution of the State of California, and violates defendants' rights to					
6	substantive due process as provided in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States					
7	Constitution and the Constitution of the State of California, and therefore fails to state a cause of					
8	action supporting the punitive or exemplary damages claimed.					
9	WHEREFORE, these answering defendants pray for judgment as follows:					
10	1. That plaintiff take nothing by the Complaint;					
11	2. For costs of suit incurred herein; and					
12	· ·					
13	3. Tor such other and rurther tener as the court deems proper.					
14	D. 1 D. 1 C. 2007 HAVES DAVIS DONING ELLINGSON					
15	Dated: December 5, 2007 HAYES DAVIS BONINO ELLINGSON McLAY & SCOTT, LLP					

STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON

JAMIE A. RADACK Attorneys for Defendants CLUCK UNIVERSITY CHICKEN OF PALO ALTO, LP, d/b/a UNIVERSITY CHICKEN SANTA CLARA, LP; UC RESTAURANT MANAGEMENT, INC.; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN, INC.; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN FRESNO, LP; UNIVERSITY CHICKEN SAN JOSE, LLC; and MICHAEL C. BORNEO

27 28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25